Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/537,859	PROOST ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Prema M Mertz	1646		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		•	
(1) <u>Prema M Mertz (Primary Examiner)</u> .	(3)		•	
(2) Roger L. Browdy (Attorney).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 05 January 2005.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applicant's represent	ative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: none.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>It was indicated to Mr. B</u> than "SEQ ID NO:2". Authorization was obtained to correct	rowdy that claim 26, line 4	recited "(SEQ ID NO		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attache	copy of the amendments th			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	last Office action has alre THE MAILING DATE OF OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	eady been filed, APPI THIS INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Prev	na Ment		
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required		